



CountPaperBallots.com

Georgia Citizens For Paper Ballots

10 Reasons NOT To Buy DRE Voting Machines!!

(Just say "No" to Diebold/ES&S/Triad)


Seeking the Truth about Georgia's Privatized Elections

**Includes Evidence of Election
Fraud From Official GA Election Data**

**April 28, 2005
Version 5.2-CA**

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004




Disclaimer

**Georgia Citizens
Have spent over
\$10K out of Pocket To purchase
28 Counties of Georgia's
Full Election Data have To Prove Electronic
Voting Machine Fraud**

**Disclaimer: This is an Unofficial Release
Of Georgia Election 2004 Citizen AuditData.
These documents listed in this powerpoint are official Georgia Open Records
Requests of the counties and represent OFFICIALLY
RELEASED Georgia Election Data. An Official Report will be Released in Late
May and will be peer reviewed.**

Thanks to all who helped make this report possible! :)
For a copy of the Official report, email helpnow@countpaperballots.com





10 Reasons to say NO To DRE Machines

Why Not to Purchase Electronic Voting Systems (DRE)

DRE MACHINES:


1. **ARE TOO EXPENSIVE**
2. **FRAUDULENTLY** Mistabulate Votes (GA Examples)
3. **ARE INSECURE** & Easy To Manipulate Thru Hacking, Stolen Equipment
4. **HAVE PROVEN STATEWIDE FAILURES (MD)** & Had Uncertified Software Resulting in \$2.6M Lawsuit Against Diebold (CA)
5. Drive Counties to **GO BACK TO PAPER** (MIAMI-DADE)
6. **BREAK THE VOTER CHAIN** Chain Of Custody 4 Times
7. **SECRETLY COUNT OUR VOTES**, Provide No Option for "Votes being counted in FULL CITIZEN VIEW"
8. **ARE UNAUDITABLE**, No Paper Ballots Make Recounts Impossible & Prohibitively Expensive
9. **ARE PROVEN PROBLEMMATIC** by Bev Harris, Nation's DRE Expert
10. **VENDORS HAVE DISTURBING** Financial & Political Ties & Threatens US

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

(1) DREs Are Too Expensive


Considering Buying Diebold? You probably Can't Afford It



COSTS	WHO PAYS
GA Original Diebold Equipment \$53.9M for 24,500 Machines Statewide	HAVA Funded
Diebold Maintenance Contract (Per County, \$93/machine, Per Year) i.e. DouglasCounty pays \$23,000 unfunded	County (no state or HAVA funding)
Diebold Technician Costs \$1500 per day / 3 Day Minimum(2) \$4500	County (no state/Hava funding)
Municipality Rented Equipment Unknown	Municipality (no State/Hava Funding)
Diebold Printers / Estimate for MD \$20M-\$73M(From 300-\$1200/Printer)	County (No State or HAVA funding)

(1) Source: Douglas County Georgia, truevotemd.org and KSU Kennesaw State University Center for Election Employee

(2) Source: Georgia County Election Official



Example True Cost of Statewide Diebold

Average of 16% More Paid by County Than Quoted

**1,000 Machines Original Purchase,
roughly \$2,500 / machine**

**TECHNICIAN: 2 weeks During Election,
Post Election (12 days)**

Maintenance Contract in Year 2

**Printers if they are required (estimated @
\$300 per printer)**

County Actual Estimated Cost

\$2.5M (Paid by HAVA)

12 X \$1500 = \$16,000

\$93 X 1,000 = \$93,000

1,000 X \$300 = \$300,000

**\$409,000 beyond quote...
16% more than Diebold says**

24,500 Diebold machines handle roughly 3.2M Georgia Voters
Average Federal Goal is 1 Machine for every 200 Voters



NY Verified Voting

OptiScans Over 50% Less than DRE Machines

NYVV Acquisition Cost Comparison

On April 13, 2005, New Yorkers for Verified Voting released an Acquisition Cost Analysis comparing the purchase costs of DREs vs. Optical scan systems for all counties in New York State showing the following analysis:


Electronic voting machines (DREs)	\$230,473,000
Paper Ballots & Precinct based Optical Scan	\$114,423,640
Cost SAVINGS from OPTICAL SCAN:	+++ \$116,049,360

Source: You can download a copy here:

<http://nyvv.org/doc/AcquisitionCostDREvOptScanNYS.pdf>

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



(2) DREs Fraudulently MisTabulate Votes

18 Problem / Inaccuracy / Fraud Categories

NUMERIC PROBLEMS

- (1) PHANTOM Votes: Fewer Registered Voters than Total Votes**
- (2) TURNOUT Problems: Higher than 100% Turnout Rates**
- (3) UNDERVOTE Problems: Inaccurate reported undervotes**
- (4) Diebold Vs. Diebold Problems (e.g. DRE Tape Vs. Recap Math Errors)**
- (5) Provisional Ballot Recap Vs. Provisional SOVC**
- (6) Absentee Ballot Recap Vs. Absentee SOVC**
- (7) Absentee + Provisional + DRE Votes Don't Add up to Election Summary Reported**

SECURITY PROBLEMS


- (8) Security Seals Broken Before Election**
- (9) Security Seals Modified**
- (10) Stolen Machinery**
- (11) Uncertified Software on Machines**

PROCEDURAL / SIGNATURE PROBLEMS

- (12) Lack of 3 Signatures on DRE Tapes**
- (13) Unsigned Absentee Ballot Recap**
- (14) Unsigned Provisional Ballot Recap**
- (15) Unsigned DRE Ballot Recap**
- (16) No Diebold Pollworker Oaths**

MACHINE MALFUNCTIONS

- (17) DRE Touchscreen Malfunctions**
 - Switching Votes
 - BootUp Problems
 - Complete Crash (out of commission)
- (18) GEMS Server Malfunctions**
 - Corrupted / Invalid Database Info
 - Windows Locks



Election Fraud Defined

On Recommendation from Federal Election Commission Official

**BARBERA ARNWINE said at 04/18
Baker-Carter Election Reform Commission
Hearing**

“In order to effectively respond to misconduct in the election system, election fraud should have a much broader definition. It should include conduct of poll workers and election officials and should include deceptive practices and intimidation.

Election fraud consists of any conduct that has the effect of restricting access to the ballot.”

ELECTION FRAUD IS

ANY ACT OR DEED THAT including conduct of Poll workers or election officials
Which includes deceptive practices or intimidation and has the effect of restricting

Access to the ballot. This includes

SECRET VOTE COUNTING, MISTABULATION,
MACHINE ERRORS, VOTE MANIPULATION AND
PROCESS PROBLEMS RESULTING
IN A PERSON'S VOTE NOT BEING CAST

AS INTENDED OR COUNTED IN FULL [Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com](mailto:phyllis@countpaperballots.com)

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

CITIZEN VIEW

Count Paper Ballots

Typical SOVC to Recap Mismatches

Which are the right numbers?

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

DATE OF ELECTION November 2, 2004

COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY CLINCH

PRECINCT Homerville (Posts 1-5)

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED 7:50 Am

NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN PRECINCT 8802

ELECTION: (Check One) ☒ General ☐ Presidential Preference Primary ☐ Primary ☐ Special ☐ Runoff (if applicable)

USE BALL POINT PEN
Bear Down - You Are Making Four Copies

WHITE sheet to Secretary of State
YELLOW sheet to Superintendent
PINK sheet to Clerk of Superior Court

DATE OF ELECTION November 2, 2004

COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY CLINCH

PRECINCT Homerville (Posts 1-5)

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED 7:50 Am

NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN PRECINCT 8802

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
112478	029459	0274319	0	149
112575	029403	0274338	0	151
142885	029808	0025359	0	138
161997	029431	0274326	0	116
119585	029814	0274331	0	111
155388	029440	0274317	0	101
157405	029829	0274345	0	189
157292	029806	0274366	0	155
158058	029408	0201010	0	153
				1222

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED)

SECTION C: NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING:

1. Total number voting as shown by the long version of the Accumulator Results Tape: 1219

2. Total number voting as shown on all Numbered List of Voters (for primary total all list):

- Democratic Numbered List: _____
- Republican Numbered List: _____
- Nonpartisan Numbered List: _____

3. Total number voting as shown by Electors List: 1219

4. Total number voting as shown by Voter Certificates (for primary total all list): 1219

- Democratic Voter Certificates: _____
- Republican Voter Certificates: _____
- Nonpartisan Voter Certificates: _____

Note: Lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 should all be the same and should equal the total in Section B. If not explain difference here: _____

We, the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this the 2nd day of November, 2004

Alfred James Young Kelley R. Hannon Brian K. Kay
Clerk of Superior Court Recorder-Manager Assessor-Manager

Form RS-100-0-02

None of
These
Numbers
Match &
They should!
DRE Recaps
Don't match
SOVC Report
** Evidence
Of Fraud!!

From CLINCH SOVC Report

Homerville

Polling

OS Absentee/Provisional

2803

2803

1222

0

43.60%

0.00%

All Documents from Official Open Records Request of Clinch County

from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

RESULT 79 Voters
Votes WERE NOT
COUNTED AT ALL
or 5% of the
Precinct

from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

RECINCT 4A Flat Rock Volunteer Fire Dept.

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED _____
NUMBER OF REGISTERED
VOTERS IN PRECINCT 1458

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

[illegible]

ACTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED)

ACTION C: NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING:

2. Total number voting as shown on all Numbered List of Voters (for primary: total all list)
- | | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Democratic Numbered List | _____ |
| Republican Numbered List | _____ |
| Nonpartisan Numbered List | _____ |

780

780

- 2 Total number voting as shown by Electors List _____
- 3 Total number voting as shown by Voter Certificates (for primary; total all list) _____
- 4 me voter Democratic Voter Certificates _____
- 5 Republican Voter Certificates _____
- 6 not checked off Nonpartisan Voter Certificates _____

779

780

4 Certificates were voided and the amounts were marked off. Note: Lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 should all be the same and should equal the total in Section B.

If not explain difference here: we don't know where that was counted by 4 different people

the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this

day of November, 2004. SIGNED IN TRIPPLICATE

Ray L. Chambers Jr. C. Morrison Thayer Davis

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

If we use a "red" pen in the future

Let well stand out; ✓

Form RS-DK 12-023

Problems:

1. PHANTOM VOTES

2. Security Seal CrossOuts

3. Extensive Pollworker Notes

tpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

DATE OF ELECTION 11-2-2004
COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY Jefferson
PRECINCT 1593

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED 7:00
NUMBER OF REGISTERED
VOTERS IN PRECINCT 283

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
142047				
142048				
142047	022499	000000	0295668	100049
142052	000065	000000	0287209	000120

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED)

SECTION C: NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING:

- Total number voting as shown by the Accumulator Results Tape
- Total number voting as shown on all Numbered List of Voters (for primary: total all list)
 - Democratic Numbered List _____
 - Republican Numbered List _____
 - Nonpartisan Numbered List _____
- Total number voting as shown by Electors List
- Total number voting as shown by Voter Certificates (for primary: total all list)
 - Democratic Voter Certificates _____
 - Republican Voter Certificates _____
 - Nonpartisan Voter Certificates _____

219
220

Note: Lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 should all be the same and should equal the total in Section B.
If not explain difference here: One Vote Cast Below Without Voting

We, the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this
the 02 day of November, 2004. SIGNED IN TRIPLICATE
Joyce Smith Rebecca Higgins Nancy H. Rooks
Manager Assistant Manager Assistant Manager

PHANTOM
VOTES=
More Machine
Votes Than
Voters

JEFFERSON
COUNTY

email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com
countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

PINK sheet to Clerk of Superior Court

DATE OF ELECTION Nov 2, 2004

COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY White

PRECINCT Nacooche

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED 7:03
NUMBER OF REGISTERED
VOTERS IN PRECINCT 1255

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT[illegible]

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED)

SECTION C: NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING:

1. Total number voting as shown by the Accumulator Results Tape
2. Total number voting as shown on all Numbered List of Voters (for primary: total all list)
- Democratic Numbered List _____
- Republican Numbered List _____
- Nonpartisan Numbered List _____
3. Total number voting as shown by Electors List
4. Total number voting as shown by Voter Certificates (for primary: total all list)
- Democratic Voter Certificates _____
- Republican Voter Certificates _____
- Nonpartisan Voter Certificates _____

Note: Lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 should all be the same and should equal the total in Section B

If not explain difference here:

the same and should equal the total in Section B.
There is a 62A inserted in the number

PHANTOM
VOTES=
More Machine
Votes Than
Voters


JEFFERSON
COUNTY

$$\begin{array}{r} 684 \\ \times 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

6/3

il: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

ballots.com Copyright 2004



(2) DREs Fraudulently MisTabulate Votes

Absentee Turnout Rates Over 100% (how is that?)

Cards Cast Report Randolph County, Georgia November 2, 2004 General Official Results							Date: Nov 03, 2004 Time: 01:06 PM Page: 1 of 1
District/Reportunit	VGroup1	VGroup2	Card	Ballot	Cast	Voters	Percent
Jurisdiction Wide							
Absentee	NP	NP	1	1	253	263	96.20
	NP	NP	2	2	18	0	-
	NP	NP	3	3	161	89	180.90
	NP	NP	4	4	456	256	178.13
	NP	NP	5	5	139	0	-
Absentee - Total	TOTAL	NP			1027	608	168.91



**I smell
A Rat!**

**How can you have 89 Voters and 161 Cards cast
And the Turnout Percentage be 180.90%...Impossible to
Have this many cards cast with these few voters...**

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com


Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

OFFICIAL Results




Randolph
County
AFTER AUDIT
RESULTS

ENOUGH
PHANTOM
VOTES
TO MAKE
THE WINNER OF
KERRY / BUSH
RACE
UNCERTAIN
Other Races
Affected:
PSC
Court of Appeals
Judge




Joan Dixon House Rep. Dist. 140 Race Affected by Machine Failure

Official Results




	FREEMAN	DIXON
	(R)	(D)
	9,079	8,999
	50.20%	49.80%
County		
BIBB	3,852	1,950
JONES	1,945	1,873
TWIGGS	1,825	2,273
WILKINSON	1,457	2,903
	9,079	8,999
Lost By		-80

Restated Dixon Race Results House Dist. 140



Confidential: Do not Distribute without p



(2) DREs Fraudulently MisTabulate Votes

Oh Yeah, and Security Seal Problems out the Yin Yang...

What kind of security process allows opening machines
2 weeks before election and County election
Officials authorized to put NEW Security seals locally?

COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY Clinch
PRECINCT ARABIA .(POST 2)

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED 6:54 PM.
NUMBER OF REGISTERED
VOTERS IN PRECINCT 96

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close SEAL NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
122231	-029453- * 029411	0 00 772.3	0	35
136753	-029425- * 029450	0 00 770.49	0	32

* There was water damage to storage room where TS units are stored. Both units were opened to see if water was inside storage case and machines were turned on to see if they "booted up". No water was found inside cases and machines did turn on. New seals were attached to cases for security purposes.

*H. J. O'Brien
Election Supervisor*

Count Paper Ballots

(2) DREs Fraudulently MisTabulate Votes

How About Provisionals, Where are my Provisionals?

Statement of Votes Cast Baldwin County State of Georgia General Elect November 2, 2004 SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Count Official Results

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
OS Absentee/Provisional	1077	0	0.00%
Total	1077	731	67.87%
Absentee			
Polling	0	0	
OS Absentee/Provisional	0	3598	
Total	0	3598	
Provisional			
Polling	0	0	
OS Absentee/Provisional	0	3	
Total	0	3	
Total			
Polling	18512	11071	59.80%
OS Absentee/Provisional	18512	3601	19.45%
Total	18512	14672	79.26%

Confidential: Do not Distribute

No. 1 of 1 sheet(s)
White sheet to Secretary of State
Yellow sheet to Superintendent
Pink sheet to Clerk of Superior Court or City Clerk
Goldenrod sheet to Registrars Office

USE BALL POINT PEN
Bear Down You Are Making Four Copies

NUMBERED LIST OF PROVISIONAL VOTERS

☐ Republican Primary/Primary Runoff
☐ Democratic Primary/Primary Runoff
☐ Nonpartisan Election/Runoff
☒ General Election/General Election Runoff
☐ Special Election/Special Election Runoff

who voted in the Courthouse Precinct of Baldwin County held on the 2nd day of November, 20 04
in the Courthouse Precinct of Baldwin County Georgia, to wit:
(Place name of City or County as applicable)

* Provisional Codes
PR Person whose name does not appear on electors list
IR Voter who registered for first time by mail in Georgia but did not provide valid identification
EH Voter who is casting a ballot during extended poll hours as a result of a court order

NO.	NAME OF VOTER	PROV. CODE*	BALLOT STYLE/DISTRICT COMBO	Registrars Office Use Only†	
				ACCEPTED BALLOT	REJECTED BALLOT
1	Cook, Keri Lee	PR			✓
2	Spikes, Michael Ladrea	PR			✓
3	Crowe, Colleen	PR			✓

REJECTED!

REJECTED!

Precinct by Precinct,

With only 3 Provisionals out of 3604

Total Absentee/Provsionals Cards Cast out of 14,672 Voters, How do we know the Machines tallied provisionals 'accurately'

(3) DREs are Insecure

Easy to Hack and Susceptible to Stolen Equipment Breaches

6 Stolen Diebold Touchscreens
+ 1 Gems Server + Full
Networking Cables + No
Serial Numbers =
NO WAY DIEBOLD CAN SECURE
A SINGLE RACE!!

Jan 06 05 08:09p p.1

**BIBB COUNTY SHERIFF OFFICE
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT**

ADVISORY: GA 01100000 ORIGINAL SUPPLEMENTAL

INCIDENT TYPE: THEFT OF PROPERTY INCIDENT CODE: 013-77722

REPORTING ZONE: 0000

INCIDENT DATE: 07/20/02 TIME: 08:00

STATIONER TO STRANDER: YES NO

DISCOVERED BY: OFFICER ON PATROL 3 REPORTING PARTY 5 PRIVATE SECURITY 2 ALARM 4 COMPLAINT VICTIM

COMPLAINANT: 777-777-7777

STOLEN: 1. CLOTHING 2. OFFICE EQUIP 3. HOUSEHOLD GOODS 4. OTHER

RECOVERED: 1. CLOTHING 2. OFFICE EQUIP 3. HOUSEHOLD GOODS 4. OTHER

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

CODE	QTY	BRAND	SIZE	COLOR	DESCRIPTION	SER. NUMBER	VALUE
S	6	DELL			Computer Monitors	UNKNOWN AT THIS TIME	
S	6	DELL			Computer Hubs		
S	1	AS UNIT			Accu vote (Blank)		
S	1	Famous Names			Accu vote Scan Memory Card		
S	1	proj			Projector Screen		
S	1	proj			Projector		
S	1	proj			Computer Speakers		
S	1	Null Modem			Cable		

DO INVESTIGATION INDICATE THAT THIS INCIDENT WAS DRUG RELATED? YES NO

IF YES, PLEASE INDICATE THE TYPE OF DRUGS USED BY OFFENDER:

1. MARIJUANA 2. COCAINE 3. HEROIN 4. FALLOUT 5. OTHER

DEPOSITION OF PROPERTY:

1. EVIDENCE OFFICE 2. RETURNED TO OWNER 3. OTHER

RECEIVED JUN 13 2002


REPORTING OFFICER: J. Dudley / E. Meredith

APPROVING OFFICER: [Signature]

BIBB COUNTY POLICE DEPT. REPORT of.....
STOLEN DIEBOLD EQUIPMENT !!!

(No Serial #'s and Not Recovered)

6 Diebold Touchscreen Machines which contain all
software and Networking Technology to allow a
person to run A countywide election and one
GEMS Server.



(3) DREs are Insecure

Easy to Hack and Susceptible to Stolen Equipment Breaches

"The biggest turnout in history had no chance to win this election or any other unless the programmers of the voting machine allowed it. I believe they will allow it less and less as the machines control the elections and the Republicans control the machines." – Clint Curtis

Clint Curtis testified before Senate Judiciary investigation, swore under federal oath and took and passed a lie detector. His testimony includes information that Tom Feeney Republican Majority Leader in FL personally asked him to write software that would alter the vote and be undetectable. His website: www.justaflyonthewall.com entire 2 year saga captured at www.bradblog.com

"ABOUT 50 MILLION Americans will cast their ballots for president on touch-screen terminals Tuesday. If my experience as an election judge is any guide, voters will love these machines, which are generally easy to use and which easily accommodate voters who have disabilities or do not speak English. And if my experience as a computer scientist is any guide, those voters will not realize just how dangerous it is to rely on these machines to conduct a free and fair election with a reliable result." – Avi Rubin, Professor of Computer Science at Johns Hopkins October 27th, OPEd Baltimore Sun / wrote a report on how Diebold machines are not secure <http://avirubin.com/vote/analysis/index.html>

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

(4) Have Proven Statewide Failures

CA False Claims Suit Settled by Diebold for \$2.6M Alleged Uncertified software and insecurity of Diebold Touchscreens

II. ISSUES AND SHORT ANSWERS

A. Issue: Whether the use of an uncertified voting system is illegal? **Short**

Answer: Yes. All voting systems must be approved by the Secretary of State before use in any election. See Cal. Elec. Code § 19201.

B. Issue: Whether Diebold breached the Agreement if it provided Alameda County with an uncertified voting system? **Short Answer:** Most likely. **If Diebold provided Alameda County with an uncertified voting system that was used in an election, then Diebold most likely breached provisions of the Agreement requiring Diebold to comply with all applicable laws.**


C. Issue: What are the consequences if Diebold breached the Agreement? **Short Answer:** If Diebold materially breached the Agreement, Alameda County can terminate the Agreement and sue for damages.

Paragraph 38, section C: "The System to be delivered under this Agreement shall be new when delivered and in accordance with all specifications as stated in this Agreement; and *shall be in the same configuration as has already been certified for this System by the California Secretary of State.*" (emphasis added)

SOURCE: <http://media.mnginteractive.com/media/paper190/alamedacountyagreement.pdf>

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



(4) Have Proven Statewide Failures

Statewide Machine Failures Sited

"Election Day was anything but smooth. Votes were lost, computer cards storing votes were unreadable, thousands of error messages were reported, machines froze in mid-voting and machines refused to boot up. The problems with the machines were so widespread and serious that efforts to hide the problems have failed," said Linda Schade, director of TrueVoteMD.org.

DIEBOLD UNABLE TO RESOLVE TECHNICAL FAILURE FOUR MONTHS AFTER ELECTION After IT

examinations within Maryland failed to decipher the root of these problems, the State Board and Diebold sent voting machines to several out-of-state locations in Texas and Ohio for further testing, according to a Diebold memo dated February 16, 2005. As of the March 3, Montgomery County Election Board meeting, the PC memory card problems as well as those listed above cannot be explained by Diebold, according the IT report.

UNREADABLE PC MEMORY CARDS Multiple sources also have revealed that the computer memory cards where vote totals are stored inside each voting machine were unreadable in multiple counties.

VOTES LOST According to the IT Report to the Montgomery County Election Board, dated December 13, 2004 there were two broad levels of problems. Seven percent of units (189) failed

MACHINE FAILURES STATEWIDE Montgomery County Elections official Sam Statland has acknowledged that local boards around the state are gravely concerned about the Diebold system's performance and are pressuring the State Board of Elections for answers.


TRUEVOTEMD CALLS FOR INDEPENDENT REVIEW AND DECERTIFICATION OF MACHINES AS REQUIRED

BY LAW This information is confirmed by TrueVoteMD's Election Day report "When the Right to Vote Goes Wrong: Md Voters Tell The Story of Election Day 2004"

<http://www.truevotemd.org/Resources/MDproblems04map.pdf>.



Source: [Source:http://www.truevotemd.org/Press_releases/html/2005-03-08_Press_Release.html](http://www.truevotemd.org/Press_releases/html/2005-03-08_Press_Release.html)



(5) Drives Counties to Go Back to Paper

Miami-Dade County Going Back to Paper

Miami-Dade County officials are studying whether to replace an expensive, controversial touch-screen voting system after a series of mishaps. BY NOAKI SCHWARTZ nschwartz@herald.com

Three years after spending \$24.5 million to install a controversial touch-screen voting system, Miami-Dade County elections officials have been asked to study scrapping the system in favor of paper-based balloting. The request from County Manager George Burgess follows the recent resignation of Elections Supervisor Constance Kaplan and the revelation that hundreds of votes in recent elections hadn't been counted.

County officials say the machines have more than tripled Election Day costs. "It's a confluence of bad facts," said Lida Rodriguez-Taseff, head of the Miami-Dade Election Reform Coalition and a longtime critic of the elections department. "You have lousy technology that doesn't inspire voter confidence combined with outrageous costs for that lousy technology."

"What I've noticed about this system from the very beginning is that there are so many things that can happen and, therefore, maybe it's not the system we should've gotten years ago," said Mayor Carlos Alvarez, who met with Burgess and elections officials Monday to discuss the issue. Any change would stir controversy after the county spent millions in 2002 to become one of the larger clients of Election Systems & Software, which makes iVotronic. But county officials say ensuring voter confidence is crucial. **"Sometimes lessons are expensive,"** said County Commissioner Katy Sorenson, who said she will wait for the manager's report before weighing in on the machines.....


a staffer's coding error had led to hundreds of ballots being thrown out in last month's special referendum on slots. Kaplan said the number of missing votes would not have affected the election's outcome, but the same error was found in five other municipal elections. Amid the problems, the cost of the actual elections -- about one countywide and 30 or so municipal races per year -- has increased. Sola said the **Nov. 2 countywide election cost \$6.6 million because of increased labor costs to program the machines**, set up the equipment and print backup ballots."

Tue, Apr. 12, 2005 <http://www.miami.com/mld/miamiherald/11370895.htm>

Though this is ES&S Technology,
Indicative of DRE Machine Failures

(6) It Breaks Voter Chain of Custody 4 Times

Votes Passes Thru 10 Software Systems Before Being Listed by SOS Office




Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Count Paper Ballots

(6) It Breaks Voter Chain of Custody 4 Times

In Georgia, We've Found Major Inaccuracies and Mismatches between....




Ballots are not in Full Citizen View
At Any Time After They Hit Cast Ballot
But Secretly Counted by Diebold Software

1. Ballot recaps do not match DRE Tapes (for all categories DRE/Absentee and Provisional)
2. Poll books do not match Registered Voters on Ballot Recaps / SOVC & Election Summary
3. Voter History Files do not match poll book or election summary list of 'registered voters' to tune of 33,000 Phantom votes or More Machine counted votes than Voters in Georgia according to SOS Election Summary and SOS Voter History
4. DRE Tapes do not match SOVC Report
5. CDs requested have NOT BEEN RELEASED, so the audit is FULLY UNAUDITABLE Without that form of verification

Count
Paper
Ballots

Paper Ballots As Ballot of Record


PLUS Unbroken Chain of Custody & Counted in Full Citizen View



Count Paper Ballots Advocates

**PAPER BALLOTS / UNBROKEN CHAIN OF CUSTODY / HAND
COUNTED / IN FULL PUBLIC VIEW!!! Nothing Short will do....**

"Count The Damn Paper" Lynn Landis www.ecotalk.org



(7) It Makes Elections Unauditable

Privatizing our Votes and Letting Corporations "Secretly" count Them
Puts Our Rights as Citizens at Odds with DRE Vendor Trade Secrets Making
Elections Fully Unauditable!!!

Dec 31 04 10:47a rigel 99 4047951013 p.1
12/28/2004 3:56 PM FROM: Ga Secretary of State TO: 919927647516 PAGE: 001 OF 001



Secretary of State
Elections Division
2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive
1104 West Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Cathy Cox
SECRETARY OF STATE

Linda W. Beazley
DIRECTOR
(404) 656-2871
FAX (404) 651-9531
lbeazley@sos.state.ga.us

***** IMPORTANT UPDATE *****

TO: Election Officials
FROM: Kathy Rogers, Director
Elections Administration
DATE: December 28, 2004
RE: Open Records Requests

A number of county Superior Court Clerks have received open records requests for elections materials filed with the Clerk of Superior Court's office and are asking the county election office for direction on how to respond to these requests.

First, the Clerk's office should contact the County Attorney for advice in responding to the above-mentioned request. You might also remind the Clerk that pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-500(a) election records are maintained under seal in the Clerk's Office and cannot be opened unless so directed by a Superior Court order.

Second, the CD-ROM mentioned in the request should not be copied or provided for disclosure because it has elements of computer programs and computer software as described by O.C.G.A. § 50-18-72(f) and it may contain information the disclosure of which would compromise security against sabotage or criminal or terrorist acts and the nondisclosure of which is necessary for the protection of life, safety, or public property... (See O.C.G.A. § 50-18-72(a)(15)(A)).

However, if a request is made directly to the Election Superintendent, information on the CD-ROM may be made available in the form of reports from the election database maintained on the CD-ROM pursuant to the Open Records Act.

If you have any questions regarding the production of reports from the CD-ROM, please feel free to contact our office or the Center for Election Systems at KSU for assistance.

KAR:pgf

Is it a terrorist act for
citizens to ask for election
data that we are entitled to
by Georgia Law?

Second, the CD-ROM Mentioned in the request
Should not be copied or provided for disclosure
Because it has elements of computer programs &
Computer software as described by OCGA 50-18-72
And it may contain information the disclosure of
Which would compromise security against sabotage
Or criminal or terrorist acts and the nondisclosure
Of which is necessary for the protection of life,
Safety, or public property.


Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

or written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

(9) Are Proven Problematic

The nation's leading DRE Vendor Expert, Bev Harris along with 100 other organizations, prove problems with DRE machine Voting

Electronic Machines Can Be Hacked



On national television, Bev Harris, Executive Director of Black Box Voting, quickly hacked into a computer used for electronic voting to show how easily the results can be changed.

black box voting
CONSUMER PROTECTION FOR ELECTIONS
www.BlackBoxVoting.Org

www.blackboxvoting.org

www.uscountvotes.org

www.votersunite.com

www.velvetrevolution.com

www.ecotalk.org


www.bradblog.com


www.thealliancefordemocracy.org

RECENT MAINSTREAM MEDIA DEVELOPMENTS:

1. **Snohomish County / WA Lawsuit filed alleging "Secret Vote Counting"**
<http://famulus.msnbc.com/famulusgen/reuters04-12-165037.asp?t=renew&vts=41220051903>
2. **Datamation: "Computer Experts Allege US Vote Fraud" (US Count Votes Exit Poll)**
http://itmanagement.earthweb.com/columns/executive_tech/article.php/3495176
3. **MOSCOW's PRAVDA Online Covers Clint Curtis Story "Home to Roost, or Democracies that Live in Glass Houses"** <http://www.bradblog.com/archives/00000991.htm>
4. **Nashville Tennessean Covers Conference "Election Reform Speaker: Electronic Voting Out of Hand"** http://www.Tennessean.com/local/archives/05/03/68078488.shtml?Element_ID=68078488
5. **MIAMI HERALD Article by Robert Steinback, "Better Votes On Paper"**
http://www.miami.com/mld/miamiherald/news/columnists/robert_steinback/11377424.htm

(10) DRE Vendors Have Disturbing Financial / Political Ties






SUPPORTING FACTS & PERSPECTIVE

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



GOOD Election Quotes

"Two brothers own 80 percent of the machines used in the United States," ... it is "very easy to hack into the mother machines." -Teresa Kerry Heinz

"Elections by the people shall be by secret ballot and shall be conducted in accordance with procedures provided by law."


~ Constitution of Georgia Article II. Section I. Paragraph 1.

"A common practice for local election officials is to let election companies run their election — make up their ballot, set up their machines, and even count their tallies. This is a dangerous practice." - Ted Selker, Cal Tech/ MIT Voting Technology Project

"We can no longer trust the republicans to count our votes." –Howard Dean, Chairman of DNC

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



EVIL Election Quotes

“The right to choose our Leaders is perhaps the most fundamental right we have as Americans.” & “It’s not as easy as plugging in an HP printer” regarding Printing of paper ballots by Diebold - Cathy Cox, Secretary of State, Georgia

"Once citizens' trust in our democratic system begins to erode, that very system loses its legitimacy and ability to function properly."

J. Kenneth Blackwell, Secretary of State, Ohio 2001 (2)

Source: (2) <http://blogsforblackwell.blogspot.com/2004/11/challengers-blackwell-iic.html>

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004

Count
Paper
Ballots

The Face of Privatized Elections

And Blatant Elections Officials Conflict of Interest

When Elections Officials Do this.....

This graphic taken from Diebold Marketing Folder where Cathy Cox Georgia's Secretary of State (top right) in a blatant conflict of interest is advertising one vendor's DRE machine. Previous problems Include Diebold having Georgia's Seal on their website.

Citizens Do this....

WA Lawsuit Snohomish County / WA Lawsuit filed alleging "Secret Vote Counting"

<http://famulus.msnbc.com/famulusgen/reuters04-12-165037.asp?t=renew&vts=41220051903>

PA Lawsuit 2 Federal lawsuits against voting machines

<http://www.ecotalk.org/Lawsuits.htm>

Impending lawsuits in OH, GA, NC and other states




Nationwide DRE Machine Facts

A mix of voting systems in the US

In elections yesterday, Americans used a variety of equipment to cast their votes. About 28.9 percent used electronic machines, while 32 percent used optical scan systems, 18.6 percent used punch cards, and 12.8 pulled levers. fewer than 1 percent used paper ballots, and systems were mixed for 6.79 percent.

2004 Voting equipment by county

 PUNCH CARD	 LEVER MACHINES	 OPTICAL SCAN	 MIXED
 DATA PUNCH	 PAPER	 ELECTRONIC	



Source: Election Data Services, Analysis by Paul Overberg

Dave Merrill, USA TODAY

Paper Ballots only

0.6% - 1 million registered voters

Lever machines

12.8% - 22.2 million registered voters

Electronic - 86.5% of all votes cast

32.2% - Optical scan, 55.6 million registered voters

28.9% - Electronic touchscreens, 50 million registered voters

18.6% - Punch cards, 32.2 million registered voters

6.8% - Mixed, 11.7 million registered voters

Source: USA Today November 3, 2004

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com




Diebold Facts

Because of Diebold, Georgians don't rest either!

- ❑ 75,000 Diebold machines nationwide, 36 Million Votes
- ❑ The State of California sued Diebold for malfunctions & won \$2.6M
- ❑ Georgia is 100% Diebold, 24,500 machines installed since 2002 when SOS Cathy Cox took approximately \$15M in HAVA Help America Vote Act money & Now the State is Republican House/Senate/Governor and Pres. Choice
- ❑ The lead programmer Jefferey Dean was a convicted felon
- ❑ Walden ' O'Dell ,Diebold CEO, had a \$1,000-per-head GOP fundraiser at his 10,800-square-foot mansion, has been a guest at Bush's Texas ranch, is Member of "Pioneers and Rangers" who pledge more than \$100,000 for Bush, last fall penned a letter pledging his commitment "to helping Ohio deliver its electoral votes to the President." and W.R. "Tim" Timken, a Diebold director since 1999, his company and the Timken family have given over \$1M dollars to the GOP. Source: http://www.motherjones.com/commentary/columns/2004/03/03_200.html "Diebold's Political Machine" by Bob Fritrakis & Harvey Wasserman, March 5, 2004.
- ❑ About 29% of voters will cast ballots electronically this year — more than double the proportion who did so in 2000, says research firm Election Data Services. Diebold, the largest of four main suppliers, controls about 45% of the market. The bulk of its 50,000 machines will be used in Georgia, Maryland & California. Source: USA Today Posted 10/29/2004 1:36 AM "Voting machine has trial by fire" By Paul Davidson, USA TODAY






132Years of GA Democratic Leadership Reversed By Diebold

	Governor	State House Majority	State Senate Majority	President Choice
1920	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1930	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1940	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1950	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1960	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1970	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat
1980	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	Repub. Dem.
1990	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	
2000	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	
2001	Democrat	Democrat	Democrat	
2002	24,500 Diebold Voting Machines Installed Statewide			
2003		Democrat		
2004		Republican		

<http://uselectionatlas.org/USPRESIDENT/comparegraphs.php?fips=13>

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



Mission Statement

MISSION:

Count Paper Ballots is a nationwide citizen community dedicated to proving that privatized elections leads to fraudulent vote counting.

OBJECTIVE:


To work with action groups legally, legislatively & through grassroots efforts to organize, educate, audit & influence election reform. To implement new ways to improve election efficiency while maintaining the honesty, transparency & citizen control of elections.

WE BELIEVE:

1. Our elections are now privatized and this encourages 'secret' vote counting. Audits are impossible because DRE vendors are not accountable to citizens & software that can be modified without trace, unlike paper ballots that once marked is not modifiable.
2. Election privatization removes our right to vote
3. Voter verified paper ballots, secured by citizens enroute to counting location and hand-counted 'in full view' of citizens must be the cornerstone of any

election system


Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004



Glossary

DRE	Direct Recording Electronic, refers to an electronic voting machine
SOVC	Statement of Votes Cast, a report Diebold GEMS Servers provide that details all early/absentee/provisional and election day results for entire county BY PRECINCT.
Election Summ.	The Election summary is another Diebold GEMS Server Report that provides the final tallies by county for all races.
DRE Tape	The cash register sized long thin sheet of paper that is printed on election day after polls close to capture the voting tallies summarized by race for all races. 2 Types, Election Summary and Accumulation Total, the accumulations totals will give race summaries by precinct.
Audit	For purposes of this discussion, a citizen based count of all the Diebold data that comprises our Georgia election with goal of determining accuracy.
Fraud	For purposes of this discussion intention to defraud others.
Cathy Cox	Georgia's Secretary of State

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com



Contact

For More Information:

Contact Us:

phyllis@countpaperballots.com

For a county by county Audit Report

Or to start your own Statewide 2004

Audit visit

www.countpaperballots.com

Doing Audits in NC, GA, OH & FL

Want citizens to help do audits in all

50 States!

Email: phyllis@countpaperballots.com

Confidential: Do not Distribute without prior written notice from Countpaperballots.com Copyright 2004